University of Connecticut
College of Arts and Sciences
Department of Economics
Christian Zimmermann

Spring 2006, Intermediate Macroeconomics, section 2

ECON 219 Extra credit problem

This problem is due April 28, 2006, in class.

For this problem, you will be working with a simplified student version of the Kydland-Prescott (Econometrica 1982) seminal real business cycle model, one of the two works Kydland and Prescott got the Nobel Prize for in 2004. This model differs essentially in the following ways from the two-period model with investment we used in class:

1. the horizon is infinite, not two periods;

2. there is a permanent component to total factor productivity (technology) shocks: there can be temporary shocks that last only one period and permanent ones that last forever;

3. investment does not necessarily become productive (as capital) the next period, this is what they call *time-to-build*;

4. the model also includes business inventories;

To complete this task, you need to connect to an experimental website in Norway at `http://melon.uib.no/moodle/`. The steps are:

1. Click on UConn

2. Create a new account.

3. Follow instructions in the email you get.

4. You will need an enrolment key. Use `uconn2006`.

5. You are now ready to conduct experiments, or explore the other parts of the website.

When answering the questions below, document everything with printouts. You do not need the so-called *impulse responses*, but if you are curious, these measure the reaction over time to a one-time shock.

1. First run an experiment that will be used as a benchmark: Use T in the 60–160 range, one quarter for the time-to-build of capital (as in the textbook model), market work in the 0.2–0.33 range, risk aversion (the curvature of the indifference curve in the (c, c') space) somewhere between 1.5 and 3, a labor income share of 0.5 to 0.75, and inventories between 10–35% of GDP. Let both shocks be of the same volatility. Compute the statistics for this benchmark.

2. Compare your results with the stylized facts. What are those we can now look at but could not with the model seen in class? How does the model fit the facts?

3. To see what various changes to this benchmark can induce, run now another experiment: Make permanent shocks zero. What statistics change? Be careful about what statistics you should be looking at, as you have now obviously less volatility, especially in the light of the stylized facts. Can you explain these changes? Why can this experiment be better compared to what we did in class?

4. Let us see how risk aversion is in explaining business cycles. Do an experiment that could highlight this. Try to explain.

Make sure to document and explain what you are doing.

NB: If you want to enter parameter values of zero, enter a very small number, say 0.0001. This is a bug, not a feature...